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| Study and Examination Rules for Students at CTU**Article 30 par. 3)** The committee for the presentation and defence of dissertation theses is appointed according to the same rules as the SDZ examination committee under Article 29, Para 2 and 3 hereof. Reviewers also have the right to participate in the closed session. The committee must have at least 4 members, reviewers not included. The supervisor participates in the sessions of thecommittee, including the closed part.**Article 30 par. 6)** The S&R (VVUČ) Department will inform the doctoral student and their supervisor about the reviewer opinions. If the evaluation of one of the reviewer’s points to serious deficiencies or does not recommend the dissertation thesis for presentation and defense, the doctoral student may request that the dissertation thesis be returned for revision, and the proceedings of thepresentation and defense of the dissertation thesis are interrupted. If the doctoral student does not opt for revision of the dissertation thesis, the proceedings will continue. If two of the opinions are negative, the revision of the dissertation thesis is compulsory. A dissertation thesis can be revised only once. If the revised dissertation thesis also receives a negative evaluation, the proceedings of the presentation and defense continue.**Article 30 par. 7)** The S&R (VVUČ) Department will provide the members of the committee with reviewer opinions and the access to the dissertation thesis electronically. The chair of the committee for the presentation and defense of the dissertation thesis will set the date of the presentation and defense of the dissertation so that the date is made public within 30 days after the reception of the last opinion at the latest. The date is communicated to the doctoral student, supervisor, reviewers and members of the committee. The chair of the committee can authorize the head of the training center to set the date.**Article 30 par. 8)** The date of the presentation and defense of the dissertation thesis is published in the publicly accessible section of the website of the given faculty, at least 3 weeks in advance. During this period, the dissertation thesis is available for inspection for everyone and everyone can make printouts, reproductions or copies at their own expense. Everyone can submit their comments in writing to the chair of the committee for the presentation and defense of the dissertation thesis, or they may present them orally during the presentation and defense of the dissertation thesis. The applicant is obliged to respond to such comments.**Article 30 par. 9)** One reviewer, but no more, can be absent at the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis provided their opinion was positive and the members of the committee for the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis approve of their apology. The opinion of the absent reviewer is read at the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis. The absence of the supervisor at the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis is possible provided the doctoral student agrees.**Article 10 par. 10)** The presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis is public, including the announcement of the results; the evaluation of the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis takes place in a closed session. The result is announced by the chair of the committee for the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis immediately after the committee has decided.**Article 30 par. 11)** The committee for the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis decides about the result of the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis in a secret ballot with at least two-thirds of its members present. The overall assessment is “defended” or “not defended”. In order for the result to be assessed as “defended”, an absolute majority of the members present must vote in favour of this option, otherwise the result is assessed as “not defended”. In case of a negative assessment, the committee decides on a statement that justifies their decision.**Article 30 par 12)** A report is drawn of the course of the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis and the statements of the committee for the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis, which is signed by the chair of the committee for the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis; a protocol of the ballot is drawn, which is signed by the chair of the committee for the presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis and all its members who were present. Thereport is archived at the respective S&R Department.**Article 30 par 13)** A doctoral student may repeat an unsuccessful presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis only once after they have revised their dissertation thesis and no sooner than after 6 months. In case the repeated presentation and defence of the dissertation thesis is unsuccessful, the student’s studies are terminated pursuant to Section 56, Para 1 (b) of the Act and Article 34, Para 7 (b) hereof. The decision-making in this matter is governed by Section 68 of the Act. (14) The dissertation thesis cannot be accepted, even if it has been revised, after the maximum period of study has elapsed.**Article 30 par. 14)** The dissertation thesis cannot be accepted, even if it has been revised, after the maximum period of study has elapsed.**Article 30 par.15)** After ORO issues a statement, the dean can allow remote participation of individual members of the committee, the supervisor or the reviewers. The chair and the doctoral student must always be present physically. In case one of the voting members participates remotely, secret voting of all members must be done remotely in an application determined by the dean.**Article 30 par.16)** Based on a proposal by ORO, the dean can, in exceptional cases, decided that the defence shall be held remotely; the decision will include conditions for holding the defence remotely, including the manner of the secret vote.Doctoral Study Rules FA CTU**Article 22 par. 2)** In accordance with Article 28(1) of the SZŘ, a dissertation is the result of solving a specific scientific problem or artistic task specified in the objectives of the dissertation. It demonstrates the doctoral student's ability to work independently in a creative way and must contain the original results of scientific or artistic work published or accepted for publication by the author of the dissertation.**Article 22 par. 3)** If the core of the student's scientific work is a work of art, it is necessary to supplement this work in the dissertation with a description of the starting points and current knowledge in the given area, research goals and questions, the methodology used, prove the originality and novelty of the topic, critically evaluate and analyse the results, to place them in thedomestic and international context, and to summarise the contribution to knowledge in the given field. The description must meet the standard criteria of a professional text.**Article 24)** If the student submits a dissertation as a set of published works with a connecting introductory text, he/she must be the author of at least two thirds of the entire text. **Article 32 par. 1**) In accordance with Article 30, paragraph 15 of the SZŘ, the dean may, at the proposal of the ORP, permit the remote participation of individual members of the committee, supervisors or opponents. Typically, it is possible to use this exception for the participation of an opponent or a member of the commission from abroad. The Chair and the student must bephysically present at all times.**Article 32 par. 2)** A prerequisite for remote participation is appropriate technical provision allowing remote visual and vocal participation of those absent during the defence and voting of the entire board in electronic form guaranteeing the anonymity of the voting members. The minutes must explicitly state the remote participation. |
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