|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Study and Examination Rules for Students at CTU:  **Article 29 par. 1)** The aim of the state doctoral examination (hereinafter as “SDZ”) is to verify the depth and the quality of the doctoral student’s knowledge, their ability to acquire new knowledge, evaluate it and use it creatively in relation to the chosen field of study in the doctoral study programme and the topic of the dissertation thesis. Prior to taking the state doctoral examination, the student must successfully complete the study unit. SDZ can be held immediately after the discussion on the dissertation thesis.  **Article 29 par. 2)** SDZ is taken before an SDZ examination committee, which is appointed by the dean, including the chair of the examination committee, upon a proposal of the head of the student’s department or a member of ORO following an approval by ORO. In case there are more proposals on the composition of the committee, ORO shall discuss all these proposals. The examination committee has a minimum of five members. The supervisor and the supervisor-specialist are not members of the committee. At least two members of the examination committee are not employees of CTU. The examination committee for the given field of study may be a standing committee, or it may be nominated for individual SDZ.  **Article 29 par. 6)** The course of SDZ and the announcement of the results are public. The evaluation of the course of SDZ is taken in a closed session. The overall evaluation of SDZ is on a scale: “pass with distinction”, “pass” or “fail”.  **Article 29 par. 7)** The SDZ examination committee takes a decision by vote in the closed session in the presence of at least two-thirds of its members. The examination committee first votes between “pass” and “fail”. In order for the result to be assessed as “pass”, an absolute majority of the members present must vote in favour of this option, otherwise the result is assessed as “fail”. In case the result is assessed as “fail”, the committee decides on a statement that justifies their decision. In case the result is “pass”, the examination committee takes a further vote to decide between “pass with distinction” and “pass”. In order for the result to be assessed as “pass with distinction”, an absolute majority of the members present must vote in favour of this option, otherwise the result is assessed as “pass”.  **Article 29 par. 8)** If the result of the evaluation by the SDZ examination committee is “fail”, the doctoral student can retake the SDZ one more time, but no sooner than three months after the date of the unsuccessful examination. In case SDZ is once again assessed as “fail”, the student’s studies are terminated pursuant to Section 56, Para 1 (b) of the Act and Article 34, Para 7 (b) hereof. The decision-making in this matter is governed by Section 68 of the Act. A retake of SDZ cannot be assessed as “pass with distinction”.  **Article 29 par. 9)** A report is drawn of the course of SDZ, which is signed by the chair of the SDZ examination committee, and a protocol of the ballot is drawn, which is signed by the chair of the SDZ examination committee and all its members who were present. The report is archived at the respective S&R (VVUČ) Department.  Doctoral Study Rules FA CTU:  **Article 21** The conduct of the State Doctoral Examination (hereinafter referred to as 'SDZ') is governed by Article 29 of the SZŘ. Doctoral students are required to pass the SDZ in full-time studies by the end of the seventh (7th) semester, in combined studies by the end of the ninth (9th) semester (with a possible extension of a maximum of one (1) year based on a reasoned request approved by the dean). In the event of a retaking of the SDZ, the student must pass the examination no later than one (1) year after the failed SDZ.  **Article 32 par 1)** In accordance with Article 30, paragraph 15 of the SZŘ, the dean may, at the proposal of the  ORP, permit the remote participation of individual members of the committee, supervisors or opponents. Typically, it is possible to use this exception for the participation of an opponent or a member of the commission from abroad. The Chair and the student must be physically present at all times.  **Article 32 par 2)** A prerequisite for remote participation is appropriate technical provision allowing remote visual and vocal participation of those absent during the defence and voting of the entire board in electronic form guaranteeing the anonymity of the voting members. The minutes must explicitly state the remote participation.  **Article 35** The obligation to pass the State Doctoral Examination in full-time study by the end of the seventh (7th) semester and in combined study by the end of the ninth (9th) semester laid down in Article 21 of this Code of Doctoral Study of the FA does not apply to students whose studies commenced before 31.8.2023. | | | | | | | |
| Name, surname and academic title: | |  | | | | | |
| Title of dissertation: | |  | | | | | |
| PhD study program: | | Architecture and Urbanism / Smart Cities / Design  Please delete those that do not apply | | | | | |
| Field of studies: | | Architecture, Theory and Creation / ATT  Urban Design and Spatial Planning / UUP  History of Architecture and Monument Conservation / DAPP  Architecture, Building and Technology / AST  Landscape Architecture / KA  Please delete those that do not apply | | | | | |
| Form of studies: | | Full-time / part-time  Please delete those that do not apply | | | | | |
| Beginning date of studies: | |  | | | | | |
| Studies interrupted from-to:  e. g. Interruption of Study in Recognized Periods of Parenthood | |  | | | | | | |
| Semester of studies:  resulting from the previous 2 lines | |  | | | | | | |
| Number and name of department: | |  | | | | | |
| Supervisor: | |  | | | | | |
| Comment on the breadth and quality of the doctoral student's knowledge:  in the range of 500-1500 characters including spaces | | | |  | | | | |
| Comment on the ability to acquire new knowledge, evaluate it, and use it in a creative way in relation to the program/discipline/focus and topic of the dissertation:  in the range of 500-1500 characters including spaces | | | |  | | | | |
| Commentary on the discussion on the dissertation:  in the range of 500-1500 characters including spaces | | | |  | | | | |
| Further questions from the committee and reactions from the doctoral student:  in the range of 500-1500 characters including spaces | | | |  | | | | |
| Voting whether the doctoral student has passed:  supervisor and supervisor-specialist do not vote | | | | | | | | |
| Votes for |  | | Votes against | |  | abstained from voting/invalid |  | |
| The result of the vote:  a supermajority of "for" votes required | | | | | | passed/failed  Please delete those that do not apply | | |
| Voting whether the doctoral student passed with honors:  supervisor and supervisor-specialist do not vote | | | | | | | | |
| Votes for |  | | Votes against | |  | passed/failed  Please delete those that do not apply |  | |
| The result of the vote:  a supermajority of "for" votes required | | | | | | doctoral student passed /passed with honors  Please delete those that do not apply | | |
| Name, surname and titles of the chairman of the committee: | |  | | | | | | |
| Signature of the chairman of the committee: | |  | | | | | | |
| Names, surnames, and titles of committee members: | |  | | | | | | |
| Signatures of committee members: | |  | | | | | | |
| Supervisor's signature: | |  | | | | | | |
| Date: | |  | | | | | | |
| The document was received in VVUČ department by: | |  | | | | | | |
| Datum: | |  | | | | | | |